
To Voice of Young Science Network (VYSN)

Dear Sirs

The conviction regarding the use of homeopathy that this Institution has sent to the *World Health Organization (WHO)* for the treatment of malaria, tuberculosis, Aids, influenza and childish diarrhea in developing countries, has reached the Amazon area.

Being used to the medical homeopathic struggle for the last thirty years, I received such communication in a certain good mood. That's because I found unusual that it was recommended not to do what the homeopath definitely never does. He does not treat diseases! You can list all the existing pathologies and illnesses you imagine will affect the human beings. Make a list of everything and advise not to promote the homeopathic treatment for these diseases.

But homeopathy treats people! The chosen medicine for each patient does not depend on the name of the disease.

It does not mean that the physician must not act according to the diagnosis since it composes necessary information to the attendance of the evolution of the clinical case.

However, the individual symptoms which allow the therapeutic prescription are further more important than to consider if it is a case of tuberculosis or personality disorder, malaria or cancer, influenza or panic syndrome, diarrhea or asthma, depression or overweight etc.

This approach grants to the homeopath to intervene efficiently in a high number of patients when the symptoms and additional testes do not end the diagnosis. The neurovegetative disorder and the psychosomatic modifications are some of the examples. Even when the illnesses are not serious, most of time, they represent ailments which affect millions of people's lives all over the world.

But, in case of serious and well-defined illnesses, the homeopathic attendance also results in benefits to several patients. The reduction or the interruption in the use of chemical medicines, in case of high blood pressure, diabetes, epilepsy, dislipidemy, migraine, psychiatric perturbations, and others, happens in a natural and safe way. The recovery of the patient, in general, tends to reflect in the most outstanding symptoms of the person, which correspond to the disease. That's because the illness is an unbalance of the general situation, which appears through the dysfunction, progressive or not, of some part of an organism or body system.

Due to the reduced effect to just one part of the organism, the chronic diseases are resistant to the treatment of the biomedicine, sometimes a simple palliative. This condemns people to a lifelong use the medication, which are usually not cheap and can bring some important side effects.

Keeping on reading the communication of the VYSN, others affirmations make every homeopath curious, like: *"the promotion of the homeopathy in these countries brings risk to the patients' lives"*. It is also said that *"homeopathy does not protect people and does not treat these illnesses"*. Another categorical affirmation is: *"When homeopathy is used as an effective treatment, lives are lost"*. So, in order to assure facts and come to so conclusive deductions, you must have made long and well-conducted scientific researches, according to the principles you keep. It would be a real nonsense that legitimate Protectors of the Science, as you are, formulated affirmatives with such content, without the right and strict base that the ethics demands.

So, the homeopaths look forward for the diffusion of the researches which have led these noble scientists to such drastic conclusions.

Nevertheless, there is the suspicious about the authors of this communication to the WHO that they have not examined essentially the situation before transmitting so intemperate conclusions. It would not be the first time that important scientists would resign their own philosophy of the science to disapprove what they do not know, have not examined by themselves and have not been target of their cautious observations.

Suddenly, in a contradictory and insane action, some of your precursors have also labeled homeopathy as not being scientific, applying for arguments without any serious studies or examinations about that. It is indispensable to remind the principle that the accusation is responsible for the proofs.

Voices of the Science: search about homeopathy! Invite an uncontested homeopathic institution to perform an investigation together. And try to prove the validity or the convenience, or not, of the homeopathic treatment, whether in the south of the Sahara or in the north of Equator. I may have been precipitated, but I believe your organization's name - Voice of Young Science Network- explains the impulse of convicting what you do not know: you are young. The youth represents a period of great ecstasy, without the necessary basis. There are a great excitement which the time will lead to the reflection and prudence. Probably, the other irrational accusers were also immature, although maybe aged and reputable. Few critics, who approach the homeopathy, see it closely and reach their meanders, so they discover its virtuousness and learn, at least, to respect it.

Samuel Hahnemann's followers admit that the homeopathic science still has to be developed a lot. Due to it, they recommend their patients the use of conventional resources and treatments, along with the diluted medication, always when there is some ordinary evidence. Some time ago, it was considered that the use of chemical drugs could block the homeopathic effects. But now it is known that each one acts in its own level. And homeopaths feel very comfortable when the patient looks for biomedical support along with it.

The thankfulness and agreement of the users toward the homeopathic therapeutics show that the found result supplants its eventual limitations.

Finally, homeopaths, as well as great part of the population, have their proper judgment to evaluate the efficiency and deficiency of this therapeutic approach. When conventional medicine offers the patients resolute treatments, with low cost and no risk of serious complications, there will be no reason to oppose to homeopathy, because there will be no purpose for that. Until then, the homeopaths will go on reducing the patients' suffering with infinitesimal doses and being aware about the history of the humanity, in which some progresses demanded centuries to get the recognition of the academy and famous associations that claim the role of the truth monopolizers.

Gilberto Ribeiro Vieira

gilbertorv@uol.com.br

Universidade Federal do Acre